Table 2. *hkl reflections and their corresponding d and a spacings for* $NiFe_{1.95}Mn_{0.05}O_4$ *sintered at* 1200°C *under* 1.013×10^5 N m^{-2} *oxygen*

Film shrinkage = 1.0003.

Trial No.	h k l	$\theta(°)$	d (Å)	a (Å)	$a(2\theta = 180°)$ (Å)
1	α_2 5 5 5	68.298	0.96268	8.33707	
	α_1 6 6 2	69.269	0.95637	8.33748	
	α_2 6 6 2	69.594	0.95642	8.33788	$8.3417 \pm 3 \times 10^{-4}$
	α_1 8 0 0	73.613	0.93232	8.33897	
	α_2 8 0 0	74.060	0.93224	8.33824	
	α_1 9 1 1	77.694	0.91549	8.34047	
2	α_2 5 5 5	68.281	0.96280	8.33809	
	α_1 6 6 2	69.254	0.95647	8.33830	
	α_2 6 6 2	69.581	0.95650	8.33856	$8.3412 \pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$
	α_1 8 0 0	73.605	0.93236	8.33929	
	α_2 8 0 0	74.070	0.93220	8.33783	
	α_1 9 1 1	77.691	0.91549	8.34055	

where r_A, r_B and R_O stand for the radius of the A site, the B site and the O ion, respectively, and a and U have the usual significance. In the case of the sample with $x = 0.05$ Mn, as well as having a larger U parameter, it also has a larger lattice constant a. Such a combined effect can arise from an enlargement of the A sites of this sample. In the case of the sample with $x = 0.02$ Mn, the results lead us to suppose that the impurity in the sample may not be wholly incorporated in the lattice. It seems likely that the impurity remains at the grain boundary. Electron-probe investigations might help to clarify studies on the grain boundaries of such a sample.

References

LIPSON, H. & STEEPLE, H. (1968). *Interpretation of X-ray Powder Diffraction Patterns*, pp. 157–186. London: Macmillan.

PARRISH, W. & WILSON, A. J. C. (1959). *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. II, pp. 216–234. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

SMIT, J. & WIJN, H. P. J. (1959). *Philips Technical Library*, pp. 137–140. Amsterdam: North Holland.

SUBRAMANYAM, K. N. (1971a). *Phys. Lett. A*, **35**, 125–126.

SUBRAMANYAM, K. N. (1971b). *J. Phys. C*, **4**, 2266–2268.

Acta Cryst. (1978). B**34**, 348

Die Strukturbestimmung der Isomaltulose, $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}.H_2O$: errata.

Von WOLFGANG DREISSIG und PETER LUGER, *Institut für Kristallographie der Freien Universität Berlin, Takustrasse 6, 1 Berlin 33, Bundesrepublik Deutschland*

The following corrections are made to the data given in the paper by Dreissig & Luger [*Acta Cryst.* (1973), B**29**, 514–521]. The positional parameters ($\times 10^3$) in Table 3 should read: H(6): x −171 (5), y 172 (4); H(9): x 238 (4). The bond angles in Fig. 3 should read C(10)–C(11)–C(12) 111.5, C(2)–C(3)–C(4) 103.0°.

Alle Daten sind in der Zusammenfassung gegeben.